

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemical Engineering Journal

Chemical Engineering Journal

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cej

Post-combustion CO₂ capture with a commercial activated carbon: Comparison of different regeneration strategies

M.G. Plaza, S. García, F. Rubiera, J.J. Pis, C. Pevida*

Instituto Nacional del Carbón, CSIC, Apartado 73, 33080 Oviedo, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 March 2010 Received in revised form 7 July 2010 Accepted 9 July 2010

Keywords: CO₂ capture, Adsorption cycles, Activated carbon

ABSTRACT

A commercial activated carbon supplied by Norit, R2030CO2, was evaluated as CO_2 adsorbent under conditions relevant to post-combustion CO_2 capture (ambient pressure and diluted CO_2). It has been demonstrated that this carbon possesses sufficient CO_2/N_2 selectivity in order to efficiently separate a binary mixture composed of 17% CO_2 in N_2 . Moreover, this carbon was easily completely regenerated and it did not show capacity decay after 10 consecutive cycles. Three different regeneration strategies were compared in a single-bed adsorption unit: temperature swing adsorption (TSA), vacuum swing adsorption (VSA) and a combination of them, vacuum and temperature swing adsorption (VTSA). Through a simple two step TSA cycle, CO_2 was concentrated from 17 to 43 vol%. For the single-bed cycle configurations, the productivity and CO_2 recovery followed the sequence: TSA < VSA < VTSA. Values of productivity up to 1.9 mol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ and a maximum CO_2 recovery of 97% were reached.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Due to the strong dependence on fossil fuels within the current energy scenario, carbon capture and storage (CCS) will play a crucial role to attain the required greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction, in order to avoid permanent and irreversible damage to the climate system. The International Energy Agency (IEA) claims that CCS could bring 19% of energy related CO₂ emissions mitigation effort by 2050 [1]. Without CCS technologies, the stabilization cost could increase up to 70% [2].

Chemical absorption with amines is currently used to carry out CO₂ separation in industrial processes such as the sweetening of natural gas, and hydrogen or ammonia production. It is regarded as the most ready-to-use technology for post-combustion CO₂ capture. However, this technology presents a series of drawbacks, such as high energy requirement associated to sorbent regeneration, amine losses due to evaporation, corrosion problems, thermal and chemical degradation of the amines in the presence of oxygen, etc. Adsorption is a separation technology with potential to reduce the cost of post-combustion capture compared to amine scrubbing [3–5]. Two main adsorption technologies are being considered: pressure swing adsorption (PSA) and temperature swing adsorption (TSA). The difference between both technologies lies in the strategy to regenerate the adsorbent after the adsorption step. In PSA applications, the pressure of the bed is reduced, whereas in

TSA, the temperature is raised while pressure is maintained approximately constant. Usually, the term vacuum swing adsorption (VSA) is preferred to refer to the special PSA application where the desorption pressure is below atmospheric. Within TSA technologies, the specific case in which the solid is heated by the Joule effect is commonly referred to as electric swing adsorption (ESA) [6,7]. The vast majority of studies dealing with CO₂ post-combustion capture by means of PSA or TSA technologies use zeolites as adsorbent [7-20]. Zeolite 13X is by far the adsorbent most extensively studied in CO₂ separation processes, due to its high selectivity to CO₂ [21]. However, several studies have also appeared in the literature dealing with activated carbons [4,22]. Activated carbons present important advantages over zeolites, such as hydrophobicity, significant lower cost, and lower energy requirements to carry out their regeneration (the isosteric heat of adsorption of CO₂ over activated carbons is ca. 20 kJ mol⁻¹ [23], which is nearly half of that of zeolite 13X [21,24]). Ho et al. estimated that using zeolite 13X, a capture cost of US\$ 51 per ton of CO₂ avoided could be attained, including the cost of product compression (purity of 48%), with an additional capital investment for capture of US\$ 1300 per kW [3]. Radosz et al. estimated a total cost of compressed-pipeline ready CO₂ of US\$ 27 per ton for a power plant integrated TSA process, and of US\$ 44 per ton for a VSA process, using an activated carbon as adsorbent [4].

Previous research in our group dealt with the production of effective CO_2 adsorbents from a wide range of carbon-based precursors. Besides a suitable textural development, particular attention has been paid to the incorporation of basic nitrogen functionalities to enhance the affinity of the adsorbents towards CO_2 [25–30].

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 985 11 89 87; fax: +34 985 29 76 62. *E-mail address:* cpevida@incar.csic.es (C. Pevida).

^{1385-8947/\$ –} see front matter s 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2010.07.030

Nomenclature								
$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Nomenclature} \\ \textit{F}_{\text{CO}_2,\text{in}} & \textit{molar flowra} \\ & (\textit{mol s}^{-1}) \end{array}$	te of CO ₂	at the	inlet	of the	bed			

$F_{\rm CO_2,out}$	molar flowrate of CO_2 at the outlet of the bed
	(mol s^{-1})
Н	heat (kJ)
m _{adsorben}	t mass of adsorbent present in the fixed bed (kg)
Р	pressure (kPa)
Pads	adsorption pressure (kPa)
P _{des}	desorption pressure (kPa)
Q	volumetric flowrate (cm ³ min ⁻¹) (STP)
$q_{\rm CO_2}$	specific CO ₂ adsorption capacity of the adsorbent
-	$(mol kg^{-1})$
R	universal gas constant (8.314 J K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹)
Т	temperature (K)
T _{ads}	adsorption temperature (K)
T _{des}	desorption temperature (K)
ts	time to reach saturation (s)
t _c	cycle time (min)
$V_{\rm b}$	bed volume (m ³)
$y_{\rm CO_2, feed}$	molar fraction of CO_2 in the feed stream
Greek let	ters
\mathcal{E}_{T}	total porosity of the bed

In this work the potential of activated carbons to separate CO_2 from N_2/CO_2 mixtures has been evaluated. For this purpose, a commercial activated carbon was selected. Firstly, the selectivity of the activated carbon to separate CO_2 from CO_2/N_2 streams has been assessed from the CO_2 and N_2 adsorption isotherms and, secondly, the performance of this carbon to capture CO_2 from a N_2/CO_2 binary mixture, representative of a flue gas, has been evaluated from the corresponding breakthrough curves. Finally, different strategies to conduct the regeneration step in a cyclic adsorption–desorption process treating a simulated flue gas have been compared.

2. Materials and methods

The commercial activated carbon used in this work is a steam activated peat-based extruded carbon kindly provided by Norit

Table 1

Physical properties of the adsorbent and the bed.

Adsorbent	R
BET surface area (m ² g ⁻¹)	942 [27]
Helium density (kg m ⁻³)	2136
Apparent density (kg m ⁻³)	850
Extrudates diameter (mm)	3
Bed length (m)	0.14
Bed diameter (m)	0.009
Mass of adsorbent (kg)	0.004

(Norit, R2030CO2). It will be denoted from now on as R. A detailed textural characterisation of R can be found elsewhere [27].

Single-component N₂ and CO₂ adsorption isotherms were determined at 303 K in a volumetric apparatus, Micromeritics TriStar 3000, from 0.054 Pa up to 113.25 kPa. Prior to these adsorption measurements, the samples were outgassed overnight at 373 K under vacuum.

Multi-component gas adsorption experiments were carried out in a purpose-built fixed-bed adsorption unit, schematised in Fig. 1. Individual gas flowrates of N₂ and CO₂ were set by means of accurate mass flow controllers from Bronkhorst High-Tech, and subsequently mixed in a helicoidal distributor that assures perfect mixing of the feed gas before entering the bed. The fixed-bed consists of a stainless steel reactor of 9 mm diameter and 203 mm height, with a porous plate located at 46 mm from the bottom of the column. The temperature of the solids bed was monitored continuously by means of a K-type thermocouple placed inside the solids bed at 45 mm from the porous plate. It was controlled by coupling a heating element coiled around the reactor to an aircooling device. The bed pressure was controlled by means of a back-pressure regulator located in the outlet pipe. The composition of the outlet gas stream was measured by a dual channel micro-gas chromatograph (micro-GC), CP 4900 from Varian, fitted with a thermal conductive detector (TCD) and using He as the carrier gas. The TCD response was calibrated using standards of CO₂-N₂ mixtures of known compositions. Table 1 sumarises the physical properties of the adsorbent and the bed characteristics.

The term *breakthrough curve* refers to the response of an initially free of adsorbate bed to an influent of constant or variable composition. In this work, the solids bed was regenerated prior to each breakthrough test by heating the solids up to 373 K for 1 h while purging with $10 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ STP of N₂. Then, the initially CO₂-free bed (full of N₂) was first fed with the desired flow of N₂, and, after



Fig. 1. Scheme of the fixed-bed adsorption unit.

Table 2

Operating conditions and CO_2 capture capacities from the breakthrough experiments with CO_2/N_2 binary mixtures.

Case	<i>T</i> (K)	P(kPa)	Q _{feed} (cm³ min⁻	<i>y</i> _{CO₂,feed}	$q_{ m CO_2}$ (mol kg ⁻¹)
1	303	130	34	0.17	0.77
2	303	120	100	0.05	0.23
3	303	120	100	0.09	0.41
4	303	120	100	0.14	0.56
5	313	120	100	0.05	0.21
6	313	120	100	0.09	0.33
7	313	120	100	0.14	0.46

5 min, the selected CO₂ flow was added to the feed stream keeping the flowrate of N₂. Table 2 presents the operating conditions selected for the breakthrough experiments carried out in this work.

The quantity of CO_2 adsorbed on the carbon can be determined from the breakthrough experiments by applying a mass balance to the bed, as illustrated in Eq. (1). Note that the CO_2 stored in the bed voidage must be substracted from the total quantity of CO_2 accumulated in the bed to calculate the actual CO_2 adsorbed on the carbon.

$$q_{\rm CO_2} = \frac{1}{m_{\rm adsorbent}} \left[\int_{0}^{t_{\rm s}} \left(F_{\rm CO_2, in} - F_{\rm CO_2, out} \right) dt - \frac{y_{\rm CO_2, feed} P \varepsilon_{\rm T} V_{\rm b}}{RT} \right] (1)$$

In Eq. (1), q_{CO_2} stands for the specific CO₂ adsorption capacity of the adsorbent, $m_{adsorbent}$ is the mass of adsorbent in the bed, $F_{CO_2,in}$ and $F_{CO_2,out}$ refer to the molar flowrate of CO₂ at the inlet and outlet of the bed, respectively, t_s refers to the time to reach saturation, $y_{CO_2,feed}$ is the molar fraction of CO₂ in the feed stream, P and T are the pressure and temperature of the bed at equilibrium, ε_T is the total porosity of the bed, V_b is the bed volume and R is the universal gas constant. The concentration of CO₂ in the flow at any given point in the bed is a function of time, resulting from the movement of the concentration front in the bed. Konduru et al. [20] proposed a graphical method to calculate the first term of Eq. (1) from the ratio of the adsorbed area (A_t) on the total area (A_{tot}) of the CO₂ breakthrough curve, according to the following equation:

$$m_{\rm A} = \frac{A_{\rm t} \, m_{\rm tot}}{A_{\rm tot}} \tag{2}$$

where m_A is the mass of CO₂ adsorbed, A_t is the area (vol% min) above the breakthrough curve at time t, A_{tot} is the total area (vol% min) at time t and m_{tot} is the total mass of CO₂ that has entered the system at time t. The latter one can be computed from the inlet molar flowrate of CO₂ (mol min⁻¹), the duration time of the cycle (min) and the CO₂ molecular weight (g mol⁻¹). Fig. 2 illustrates the graphical method used to estimate the capture ratio A_t/A_{tot} . The second term in Eq. (1) was computed and can be considered negligible under the studied conditions.

Finally, three strategies to regenerate the solids bed were considered. Cyclic adsorption–desorption experiments were carried



Fig. 2. Graphical method to estimate the capture ratio A_t/A_{tot} .



Fig. 3. (a) $CO_2(\bullet)$ and $N_2(\bigcirc)$ adsorption isotherms at 303 K, (b) CO_2/N_2 selectivity.

out simulating temperature swing adsorption (TSA), vacuum swing adsorption (VSA), and a combination of vacuum and temperature swing adsorption (VTSA) processes. The cycle time was set to simulate operation with two beds, with equal duration of the adsorption and desorption steps. For comparison purposes, cycle time (14 min), feed flowrate ($34 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ STP}$), feed composition (17% CO₂ in N₂) and adsorption operating conditions, temperature (303 K) and pressure (130 kPa), were maintained for the three cycle configurations. An adsorption time of 7 min, lower than the break-through time under the aforementioned conditions (see Fig. 5c), was selected. Therefore, the TSA, VSA and VTSA experiments will differ in the operating conditions of the regeneration step:

In the TSA cycles, regeneration of the adsorbent was conducted by raising the temperature of the bed up to 373 K while feeding a small purge of nitrogen (2.6 cm³ min⁻¹ STP) and keeping the pressure bed constant (130 kPa).

During the desorption step of the VSA cycles, the bed inlet is closed and the bed outlet is conected to a vacuum pump. Then, the bed is evacuated down to a final pressure of 5 Pa at a constant temperature of 303 K. Vacuum was accurately controlled by a vacuum controller, Center One from Oerlikon Leybold vacuum, coupled to a Thermovac pressure transducer that actionated an electrovalve linked to the vacuum pump.

In the VTSA cycles, the regeneration step also involved the evacuation of the bed down to 5 Pa with the bed inlet closed, although in this case the temperature of the bed was simultaneously raised up to 323 K.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Equilibrium adsorption isotherms of pure components

The single-component CO_2 and N_2 adsorption isotherms at 303 K for activated carbon R are shown in Fig. 3a. R presents



Fig. 4. CO_2 adsorption isotherms at 298 K up to 3 MPa for zeolite 13X [21] and carbon R.

low nitrogen adsorption capacity and a linear isotherm that indicates weak adsorption forces. On the other hand, R presents a significantly higher CO₂ adsorption capacity with a curve-shaped isotherm (favourable equilibrium isotherm).

The adsorbent selectivity to CO_2 over N_2 can be assessed by dividing the adsorption capacity of CO_2 by that of N_2 at a given pressure [21,31]. This "ideal selectivity" displays an asymptotic behaviour with increasing pressure showing a sharp drop in the low-pressure range (P < 10 kPa), and approaching a near constant value shortly after (Fig. 3b). The amount of CO_2 adsorbed at atmospheric pressure and 303 K is approximately seven times that of N_2 .

Fig. 4 presents the CO_2 adsorption isotherms at 298 K up to 3 MPa for zeolite 13X [21] and carbon R. It can be observed that R presents a significantly less pronounced curvature compared to zeolite-type materials, thus showing higher potential for regeneration by lowering the pressure.

3.2. Breakthrough experiments with CO_2/N_2 binary mixtures

Figs. 5 and 6 show the breakthrough curves obtained for the activated carbon R under different operating conditions (see Table 2). After initial regeneration of the adsorbent, a feed mixture with a constant CO_2 concentration is fed into the bed. The response to the step perturbation in the feed composition involves a mass transfer zone which propagates through the column at a velocity determined by the equilibrium [32,33]. The heat and mass transfer resistances within the bed and the particles have only a dispersive effect on the shape of the wavefront.

Fig. 5 shows in detail the breakthrough experiment conducted under Case 1 (303 K, 130 kPa, 34 cm³ min⁻¹ STP, 17% CO₂) operating conditions. The complete experiment consisted of ten consecutive cycles where the adsorbent reached saturation during the adsorption step and was completely regenerated during the desorption step (see Fig. 5a). Regeneration was conducted raising the temperature of the bed up to 373 K while purging with 10 cm³ min⁻¹ STP of N₂. Fig. 5b presents the evolution of the CO₂ mole fraction at the outlet of the bed during one adsorption-desorption cycle. It can be observed that while CO₂ is being adsorbed on the carbon, during the adsorption step, it is not detected at the outlet of the bed and only when the breakthrough time is reached, CO₂ is detected in the outlet gas stream. The CO₂ adsorption front reaches the outlet of the bed after 8 min. Saturation of the bed $(y_{CO_2,out} = y_{CO_2,feed})$ is achieved shortly after (\sim 17 min). The raise in temperature results in a sharp CO₂ peak at the beginning of the regeneration step. This



Fig. 5. Breakthrough experiments at 303 K and 130 kPa ($y_{CO_2, feed} = 0.17$, $Q=34 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ STP): (a) complete experiment consisting of 10 consecutive adsorption–desorption cycles, (b) detail of a single cycle, and (c) consecutive breakthrough curves.



Fig. 6. CO₂ breakthrough curves (Cases 2–7 in Table 2; $Q = 100 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1} \text{ STP}$, P = 120 kPa).

Table 3
Operating conditions and parameters of the TSA, VSA and VTSA adsorption-desorption cycles.

Cycle type	t _c (min)	Q _{ads} (cm ³ min ⁻¹ STP)	$y_{\rm CO_2, feed}$	T _{ads} (K)	P _{ads} (kPa)	Q _{des} (cm ³ min ⁻¹ STP)	$T_{\rm des}\left({\rm K}\right)$	P _{des} (kPa)	CO ₂ recovery (%)	Productivity $(mol CO_2 kg^{-1} h^{-1})$
TSA	14	34	0.17	303	130	2.6	373	130	40	0.8
VSA	14	34	0.17	303	130	0	303	0.005	87	1.7
VTSA	14	34	0.17	303	130	0	323	0.005	97	1.9

peak presents two main contributions; one from the CO₂ adsorbed on the carbon and another one from the CO₂ that remains in the bed voidage at the end of the adsorption step. Complete regeneration of the adsorbent bed is achieved in approximately 30 min. It should be mentioned that this CO₂ desorption profile shows a significantly less dispersive character than that of zeolite-type materials [19], due to the easier regeneration of activated carbons.

Repeatability of the breakthrough experiments is shown in Fig. 5 for Case 1 (Table 2). As can be seen in Fig. 5c, consecutive breakthrough curves practically overlap. The larger difference was found in the first cycle, probably due to a slightly different initial condition of the solids bed.

The average CO_2 capture capacity assessed from these breakthrough experiments (Case 1 in Table 2), 0.77 mol kg⁻¹, is slightly below the maximum value predicted from the pure CO_2 adsorption isotherm (Fig. 3a). This may be due to small N₂ co-adsorption.

Series of breakthrough tests were carried out at a pressure of 120 kPa and using a feed flowrate of 100 cm³ min⁻¹ STP. Two temperatures were studied, 303 and 313 K, at three different CO₂ inlet concentrations, 5%, 9% and 14% (Fig. 6). The experimental conditions used and the resulting capture capacities are summarised in Table 2 (Cases 2–7). As expected, the CO₂ capture capacity of the adsorbent at a certain temperature increases with CO₂ partial pressure. However, as the temperature of the bed increases for a given CO₂ partial pressure, the CO₂ adsorption capacity of the adsorbent diminishes. For instance, it moves from 0.41 mol kg⁻¹ at 303 K (Case 3) down to 0.33 mol kg⁻¹ at 313 K (Case 6).

It can also be observed in Fig. 6 that the shape of the breakthrough curves and the breakthrough times vary with temperature and CO_2 partial pressure. Lower CO_2 partial pressures result in longer breakthrough times due to the CO_2 concentration front taking more time to reach the outlet of the bed. On the other hand, an increase in temperature favours mass transfer along the bed and thus increases the slope of the breakthrough curve.

3.3. Cyclic adsorption experiments with CO_2/N_2 binary mixtures

TSA, VSA and VTSA experiments differred in the operating conditions selected for the regeneration step. Thus, the extent of regeneration, during the desorption step, and the initial state of the bed, at the beginning of the next adsorption step, were affected. Table 3 summarises the operating conditions of the TSA, VSA and VTSA experiments conducted in this work.

In TSA experiments, a raise in temperature is used to regenerate the adsorbent. The most convenient way of raising the temperature is by purging the bed with a preheated gas. This usually results in longer cycle times because heating is slow and often rate-limiting step. However, in our particular case, the fixed-bed adsorption unit has been designed to conduct rapid heating and cooling of the bed. This allows shorter TSA cycle length with equal adsorption and desorption times. After regeneration, the bed needs to be cooled down to the adsorption temperature. In our case, cooling was carried out simultaneously to the adsorption step. This implied that the feed met an initially hot bed (at 373 K), which was progresively cooled during the adsorption step, reaching 303 K only at the end of the adsorption step (see Fig. 7). Although this configuration allowed to shorten the cycle length, it reduced the working capacity of the adsorbent to 0.19 mol kg⁻¹. The CO_2 recovery of the present cycle configuration is only 40% (see Table 3). It is worth to note that increasing the cycle length could result in a significant enhancement of the recovery and the working capacity, as illustrated by Case 1 in Table 2, where the adsorption capacity reached 0.77 mol kg⁻¹ due to a deep regeneration of the bed. Nevertheless, cycle productivity, defined as the working capacity divided by the cycle time is negatively affected by any increase in cycle length. It is widely known that the main drawback of TSA cycles is CO₂ dilution by the hot inert purge. Even so, with the simple aforementioned configuration, CO₂ was concentrated from 17% to 43% (in volume). CO₂ purity could be further increased by modifying the original cycle, adding a rinse step with part of the CO_2 product [8]. However, it must be beared in mind that using part of the product to increase purity has a negative effect over productivity. Other alternatives include the use of low-pressure steam from the power station, heating by Joule effect (ESA)[6] or indirect heating [12]. Furthermore, even with an optimised TSA cycle, it would be necessary to feed the concentrated CO₂ stream to a second unit to meet CO₂ storage specifications [34,35]. The reduction in the flowrate and the increase of CO₂ partial pressure may facilitate the separation process in the second unit.

VSA cycles elude CO_2 dilution, as inert purge is not necessary during the regeneration step. On the other hand, mechanical energy is generally more expensive than low-grade heat. Therefore, the



Fig. 7. TSA cycles: (a) schematic representation of the cycle configuration, and (b) evolution of the CO₂ molar fraction at the bed outlet (x), and bed temperature history (-) along the experiment.



Fig. 8. VSA cycles: (a) schematic representation of the cycle configuration, and (b) evolution of the CO₂ molar fraction in the effluent stream (x), and bed pressure history (---) along the experiment.



Fig. 9. VTSA cycles: (a) schematic representation of the cycle configuration, and (b) evolution of the CO₂ molar fraction in the effluent stream (x), bed pressure (---), and temperature (-) histories along the experiment.

final level of vacuum selected in a real VSA capture process will need to be carefully assesed, as this will drive the operating cost of the process. More than 70% of the power consumed in a VSA process is due to the vacuum pump [10]. The effect of the level of vacuum over the process parameters depends strongly on the shape of the isotherm. Thus, the energy requirements could be lowered for an activated carbon compared to a zeolite-type adsorbent. With the VSA configuration studied in the present case (Fig. 8), a working capacity of 0.39 mol kg⁻¹ was attained, which is almost twice that achieved in the TSA configuration. Moreover, the CO_2 recovery significantly increased up to 87% (see Table 3).

VTSA cycles combine the benefits of temperature and pressure as regeneration strategies. A slight increase in temperature may reduce the level of vacuum required to regenerate the bed, and thus the power costs. On the other hand, shorter cycle length is feasible due to small changes in the bed temperature. In the present



Fig. 10. Comparison of the working capacities of the TSA, VSA and VTSA adsorption-desorption cycles carried out.

case, and for comparative purposes, the desorption pressure has been maintained equal to the VSA case, but the temperature of the bed has been slightly increased up to 323 K during the regeneration step (Fig. 9). Using this configuration, the productivity and CO₂ recovery increased even beyond the VSA case reaching values up to 1.9 mol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 97% (see Table 3), respectively, due to a deeper regeneration of the adsorbent.

Fig. 10 shows the evolution of the working capacity of the adsorbent bed for the different cycle configurations, TSA, VSA and VTSA. It can be observed that in all cases the working capacity remains virtually constant along the cyclic experiment. This indicates the good cyclability and durability of the adsorbent as it does not lose capacity over consecutive adsorption–desorption cycles. Work is currently being developed to optimise cycle configurations by means of a purpose-built two-bed adsorption unit.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a commercial activated carbon has been tested as CO_2 adsorbent under post-combustion conditions. This material presented adequate CO_2/N_2 selectivity and reversible adsorption. Moreover, it showed very good cyclability and durability over 10 consecutive adsorption–desorption cycles. Through a simple two step TSA cycle, CO_2 was concentrated from 17 to 43 vol%. For the cycle configurations tested in the present work, the productivity and CO_2 recovery followed the sequence VTSA > VSA > TSA. A productivity of 1.9 mol kg⁻¹ h⁻¹ with a CO_2 recovery up to 97% were attained under VTSA operation. Although cycle configurations were not optimised, it can be concluded that carbon R shows promising performance as CO_2 adsorbent for post-combustion capture applications, attending to its ease of regeneration and good cyclability. Further testing will be performed to optimise the three different regeneration strategies discussed herein (TSA, VSA and VTSA); likewise, a cost estimation of the involved regeneration energy for each strategy is needed to realistically compare the CO_2 capture potential of the activated carbon presented here with current stateof-the-art CO_2 capture technologies.

Acknowledgements

This work was carried out with financial support from the Spanish MICINN (Project PSE-CO2: PS-120000-2005-2; Project ENE2008-05087). M.G.P. acknowledges support from the CSIC I3P Program co-financed by the European Social Fund.

References

- [1] IEA, Energy Technology Perspectives, Int. Energy Agency (2008).
- [2] T. Kerr, CO_2 capture and storage: a global call to action, Int. Energy Agency (2008).
- [3] M.T. Ho, G.W. Allinson, D.E. Wiley, Reducing the cost of CO₂ capture from flue gases using pressure swing adsorption, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47 (2008) 4883–4890.
- [4] M. Radosz, X. Hu, K. Krutkramelis, Y. Shen, Flue-gas carbon capture on carbonaceous sorbents: toward a low-cost multifunctional carbon filter for "green" energy producers, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47 (2008) 3783–3794.
- [5] S. Sjostrom, H. Krutka, Evaluation of solid sorbents as a retrofit technology for CO₂ capture, Fuel 89 (2010) 1298–1306.
- [6] C.A. Grande, A.E. Rodrigues, Electric swing adsorption for CO₂ removal from flue gases, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control 2 (2008) 194–202.
- [7] C.A. Grande, R.P.P.L. Ribeiro, A.E. Rodrigues, CO₂ capture from NGCC power stations using electric swing adsorption (ESA), Energy Fuels 23 (2009) 2797– 2803.
- [8] M. Ishibashi, H. Ota, N. Akutsu, S. Umeda, M. Tajika, J. Izumi, A. Yasutake, T. Kabata, Y. Kageyama, Technology for removing carbon dioxide from power plant flue gas by the physical adsorption method, Energy Convers. Manage. 37 (1996) 929–933.
- [9] E.S. Kikkinides, R.T. Yang, S.H. Cho, Concentration and recovery of carbon dioxide from flue gas by pressure swing adsorption, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 32 (1993) 2714–2720.
- [10] J. Zhang, P.A. Webley, P. Xiao, Effect of process parameters on power requirements of vacuum swing adsorption technology for CO₂ capture from flue gas, Energy Convers. Manage. 49 (2008) 346–356.
- [11] J. Zhang, P.A. Webley, Cycle development and design for CO₂ capture from flue gas by vacuum swing adsorption, Environ. Sci. Technol. 42 (2008) 563–569.
- [12] J. Merel, M. Clausse, F. Meunier, Experimental investigation on CO₂ postcombustion capture by indirect thermal swing adsorption using 13X and 5A zeolites, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47 (2008) 209–215.
- [13] K.T. Chue, J.N. Kim, Y.J. Yoo, S.H. Cho, R.T. Yang, Comparison of activated carbon and zeolite 13X for CO₂ recovery from flue gas by pressure swing adsorption, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 34 (1995) 591–598.
- [14] W.-K. Choi, T.-I. Kwon, Y.-K. Yeo, H. Lee, H. Song, B.-K. Na, Optimal operation of the pressure swing adsorption (PSA) process for CO₂ recovery, Korean J. Chem. Eng. 20 (2003) 617–623.

- [15] Y. Takamura, S. Narita, J. Aoki, S. Hironaka, S. Uchida, Evaluation of dual-bed pressure swing adsorption for CO₂ recovery from boiler exhaust gas, Sep. Purif. Technol. 24 (2001) 519–528.
- [16] D. Ko, R. Siriwardane, L.T. Biegler, Optimization of a pressure-swing adsorption process using zeolite 13X for CO₂ sequestration, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 42 (2003) 339–348.
- [17] D. Ko, R. Siriwardane, L.T. Biegler, Optimization of pressure swing adsorption and fractionated vacuum pressure swing adsorption processes for CO₂ capture, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 44 (2005) 8084–8094.
- [18] C.-T. Chou, C.-Y. Chen, Carbon dioxide recovery by vacuum swing adsorption, Sep. Purif. Technol. 39 (2004) 51–65.
- [19] N. Tlili, G. Grévillot, C. Vallières, Carbon dioxide capture and recovery by means of TSA and/or VSA, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control 3 (2009) 519–557.
- [20] N. Konduru, P. Lindner, N.M. Assaf-Anid, Curbing the greenhouse effect by carbon dioxide adsorption with zeolite 13X, AIChE J. 53 (2007) 3137–3143.
- [21] S. Cavenati, C.A. Grande, A.E. Rodrigues, Adsorption equilibrium of methane, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen on zeolite 13X at high pressures, J. Chem. Eng. Data 49 (2004) 1095–1101.
- [22] T.L.P. Dantas, S.M. Amorim, F.M.T. Luna, I.J. Silva, D.C.S. Azevedo, A.E. Rodrigues, R.F.P.M. Moreira, Adsorption of carbon dioxide onto activated carbon and nitrogen-enriched activated carbon: surface changes, equilibrium and modelng fixed-bed adsorption, Sep. Purif. Technol. 45 (2010) 73–84.
- [23] S. Himeno, T. Komatsu, S. Fujita, High-pressure adsorption equilibria of methane and carbon dioxide on several activated carbons, J. Chem. Eng. Data 50 (2005) 369–376.
- [24] J.A. Dunne, M. Rao, S. Sircar, R.J. Gorte, A.L. Myers, Calorimetric heats of adsorption and adsorption isotherms. 2. O₂, N₂, Ar, CO₂, CH₄, C₂H₆, and SF₆ on NaX, H-ZSM-5, and Na-ZSM-5 zeolites, Langmuir 12 (1996) 5896–5904.
- [25] M.G. Plaza, C. Pevida, A. Arenillas, F. Rubiera, J.J. Pis, CO₂ capture by adsorption with nitrogen enriched carbons, Fuel 86 (2007) 2204–2212.
- [26] M. Plaza, C. Pevida, B. Arias, J. Fermoso, A. Arenillas, F. Rubiera, J. Pis, Application of thermogravimetric analysis to the evaluation of aminated solid sorbents for CO₂ capture, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 92 (2008) 601–606.
- [27] C. Pevida, M.G. Plaza, B. Arias, J. Fermoso, F. Rubiera, J.J. Pis, Surface modification of activated carbons for CO₂ capture, Appl. Surf. Sci. 254 (2008) 7165–7172.
- [28] M.G. Plaza, C. Pevida, B. Arias, M.D. Casal, C.F. Martín, J. Fermoso, F. Rubiera, J.J. Pis, Different approaches for the development of low-cost CO₂ adsorbents, J. Environ. Eng. 135 (2009) 426–432.
- [29] M.G. Plaza, C. Pevida, B. Arias, J. Fermoso, M.D. Casal, C.F. Martín, F. Rubiera, J.J. Pis, Development of low-cost biomass-based adsorbents for postcombustion CO₂ capture, Fuel 88 (2009) 2442–2447.
- [30] M.G. Plaza, C. Pevida, C.F. Martín, J. Fermoso, J.J. Pis, F. Rubiera, Developing almond shell-derived activated carbons as CO₂ adsorbents, Sep. Purif. Technol. 71 (2010) 102–106.
- [31] Z. Liang, M. Marshall, A.L. Chaffee, CO₂ adsorption-based separation by metal organic framework (Cu-BTC) versus zeolite (13X), Energy Fuels 23 (2009) 2785–2789.
- [32] D.M. Ruthven, Principles of Adsorption and Adsorption Processes, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [33] D. deVault, The theory of chromatography, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 65 (1943) 532–540.
- [34] J.H. Park, H.T. Beum, J.N. Kim, S.H. Cho, Numerical analysis on the power consumption of the PSA process for recovering CO₂ from flue gas, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 41 (2002) 4122–4131.
- [35] S.-H. Cho, J.-H. Park, H.-T. Beum, S.-S. Han, J.-N. Kim, J.-S.C.a.K.-W.L. Sang-Eon Park, A 2-stage PSA process for the recovery of CO₂ from flue gas and its power consumption, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal., Elsevier, 2004, 153, 405–410.